IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.561 OF 2006
IN
CRI.BAIL APPLICATION NO.1792 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.

V.s.

1.Gajanan Laxman Kirtane.
2.Rakesh Chandrakant Gurav. ..Respondents.

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.571 OF 2006
IN
CRI.BAIL APPLICATION NO.1804 OF 2006
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.581 OF 2006
IN
CRI.BAIL APPLICATION NO.1792 OF 2006
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.610 OF 2006
IN
CRI.BAIL APPLICATION NO.129 OF 2004

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.

V.s.

Vivek Rajkumar Sharma. ..Respondent.

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.559 OF 2006
IN
CRI.BAIL APPLICATION NO.1805 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.

V.s.

Shrijit Karinath Shriniwasan. ..Respondent.

Mr.Borulkar, Public Prosecutor with Ms.S.V.Gajare, APP for applicant-State.

Mr.S.A.Ghaisas for respondent No.1 in Cri.Appln No.561/2006.

Mr.R.K.Bharuka for respondent No.2 in Cri.Appln. No.561/2006.

Mr.P.P.Runwal for respondent in Cri.Appln. Nos.571/06, 581/06 and 610/06.

Respondent-Shrijit Karinath Shriniwasan personally present in the court.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : NOVEMBER 29, 2006.

P.C.:

1. The respondents above named are present in the court and undertake that in future they shall remain present before the trial Court on every date and shall not create any such situation, as a result of which the trial Court is required to adjourn the case. They also assure to extend full cooperation to the trial Court for early disposal of the criminal cases pending against them. That assurance is accepted.

2. These applications were filed by the State on the allegation that the above said respondents were not attending the trial Court regularly; as a result of which trial cannot proceed. Now, the respondents who are personally present in the court have assured

this Court and through their counsel to remain present before the trial Court on every date, it is not necessary to precipitate the matter. This is so because, the trial is directed to be completed within six months from today. Accordingly, these applications are disposed of with liberty to the State to move this Court once again, if and when occasion arises warranting such order.